PEOPLE AND COMMUNITIES COMMITTEE



Subject: Pre-loved Toys scheme Christmas 2022										
Date:	4 April 2023									
Repo	rting Officer:	Cathy Matthews, Director Resources and Fleet, City & Neighbourhood Services Department								
Contact Officer:		John McConnell, City Services Manager (Resources and Fleet), City and Neighbourhood Services Department								
		Brendan Murray (Waste Manager)								
Restricted Reports										
Is this	s report restricted?		Yes		No	X				
If Yes, when will the report become unrestricted?										
	After Committe									
	After Council I									
	Some time in t Never	ne tuture								
Call-i	n									
Is the	decision eligible for	Call-in?	Yes	Х	No					
1.0	Purpose of Report	or Summary of main Issues								
	•	•								
1.1	The purpose of the report is to update Members on the outcomes from the pilot, pre-loved toys									
	scheme operated at Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRCs) in the run up to Christmas									
	2022. The aim of the initiative was to ease the burden on families during the current cost of									
2.0	living crisis, increase reuse and reduce waste going to landfill during this period.									
2.0 2.1	Recommendations The Committee is asked to:									
	Note the outcomes from the pilot and the key lessons learned going forward									
	Approve that the Christmas pre-loved toys scheme should continue as an annual event									
	until further notice.									

3.0 Main report **Background** 3.1 Members will recall that in November 2022, delegated authority was granted to the Director of Resources & Fleet to operate a pre-loved toys scheme at the Council's Household Waste Recycling Centres in the run-up to Christmas. This followed a Members motion previously raised on this matter. 3.2 The scheme was a clear example of a circular economy project, seeking to reduce waste while at the same time delivering social benefits to the local community. It was operated in 2022 as a trial initiative with a view to assessing its value and learning lessons for future potential schemes. The evaluation report is included as Appendix 1. 3.3 Donations were accepted at the Council's four recycling centres from 9 November – 11 December and distributed back into the community through a range of charity partners. Some items were resold at affordable prices through charity shops but the majority were gifted free of charge to households in need. East Belfast Mission (EBM), the organisation which currently collects the majority of re-use materials from the Household Recycling Centres, operated as the lead partner and co-ordinated with other charities. 3.4 The appeal was supported by a communications campaign and attracted an overwhelming volume of donations. A Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) paper was created for the Customer Hub and site staff to respond to any queries from members of the public. <u>Outcomes</u> 3.5 Most of the items were processed by East Belfast Mission who provided the following feedback/ estimates: Thirteen van loads of toys were collected from the HWRCs This translated to approximately 2,500 bags/boxes distributed by EBM (there was an unquantified but much lesser amount distributed through the other partners who had less capacity) Around 700 families benefitted and over 2,000 individual children were given at least one full bag of toys which would have been valued at £40-50 if new

- 3.6 If each bag of toys weighed 3kg (conservative estimate), the items distributed through EBM alone equate to 7.5 tonnes of items reused and diverted from disposal.
- 3.7 While toys vary in their material construction, one study¹ showed that the carbon footprint for the lifecycle (from material extraction to eventual product disposal) of a typical plastic toy was 1.8kg CO2 eq/ kg. On that basis and assuming that 50% of the donated toys were of plastic construction, this scheme has avoided around seven tonnes of CO2eq compared to buying new.

Evaluation

- 3.8 The scheme attracted significant positive media attention and was an overwhelming success in terms of the public response and the number of local families and children who were helped.
- 3.9 The initiative also connected multiple Belfast organisations and businesses in formal and informal volunteering roles to benefit the local community. It would have been impossible to deliver the scheme without this network of help.
- 3.10 However, the short notice for planning the scheme, and the significant volume of donations, meant that a number of aspects were unforeseen which created challenges for all parties concerned. This can be mitigated by a suitable planning window to deliver this scheme and adopting the lessons learned in the evaluation report, subject to Members approval.

Financial & Resource Implications

3.11 It is envisaged that the minimal costs incurred through any future scheme can be met through existing resources.

Equality or Good Relations Implications /Rural Needs Assessments

3.12 There are no Good Relations or Equality implications associated with this report.

4.0 Appendices – Documents Attached

Appendix 1 – Pre-loved toys evaluation and lessons learned report

¹ Robertson, Madeline R. and Klimas, Christie (2019) "A Playful Life Cycle Assessment of the Environmental Impact of Children's Toys," DePaul Discoveries: Vol. 8: Iss. 1, Article 7. Available at: https://via.library.depaul.edu/depaul-disc/vol8/iss1/7